



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/846,637	04/30/2001	Michael C. Jensen	24751-2502	4845
34055 7	590 01/26/2005		EXAMINER	
PERKINS COIE LLP			PAK, YONG D	
POST OFFICE BOX 1208 SEATTLE, WA 98111-1208			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1652	
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	pplicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/846,637	JENSEN, MICHAEL C.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Yong D Pak	1652				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered time IS from the mailing date of this IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 No	ovember 2004.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 73,74,142,143,219,220,234,235,248 and 249 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
_	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>73-74, 142-143, 219-220, 234-235 and 248-249</u> is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
اساره	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
111	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
' ' / 🗀	The bath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached C	Office Action of form P	10-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ul>	•••	•	ol Ctoro			
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>		cerved in this Nationa	ii Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ceived.				
	•	•					
Attachmen	nt(s)			•			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date	TO 152\			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Info 6)  Other:	mal Patent Application (PT	10-132)			

Application/Control Number: 09/846,637

Art Unit: 1652

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The amendment filed on November 14, 2004, amending claims 73-74 and canceling claims 190-191, 206-207, 260-261 and 270-285, has been entered.

Claims 73-74, 142-143, 219-220, 234-235 and 248-249 are pending and are under consideration.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's amendment and arguments filed on November 14, 2004, have been fully considered and are deemed to be persuasive to overcome the rejections previously applied. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 73-74, 142-143, 219-220, 234-235 and 248-249 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farazi et al. in view of Lightfoot et al. and Roelant et al.

Applicants argue that Farazi does not teach the use of mutant IMPDH in antiinfective chemotherapy and does not teach or suggest the introduction of IMPDH Application/Control Number: 09/846,637

Art Unit: 1652

mutants into a cell to selectively proliferate cells. The instant invention is <u>not</u> drawn to a method of using mutant IMPDH in anti-infective chemotherapy but a method of selecting for cells comprising mutant IMPDH which are resistant to IMPDH. Farazi teaches that mutants of human IMPDH are resistant to inhibitors of wildtype IMPDH (abstract and page 961). Farazi also teaches that that mutant IMPDH which are resistant to IMPDH inhibitors can be identified by screening for cells which are able to grow the presence of inhibitors of IMPDH (page 961, last paragraph). The only difference between the reference of Farazi et al. and the instant invention is the mutant IMPDH.

Wildtype IMPDH and the mutant IMPDH of the instant invention, SEQ ID NO:4, is different at residues 190, 191, 333 and 351. However, Farazi et al. teaches that the wildtype sequenced by Collart et al. was incorrect, and that wild type human IMPDH II naturally has an alanine at residue 190 and Glycine at residue 191 (page 962, third full paragraph). Therefore, the only difference between the mutant of the instant invention and wild type IMPDH II is at residues 333 and 351. Lightfoot et al. (form PTO-1449) teaches a mutant mouse IMPDH having resistance to MPA (abstract). The mutant IMPDH of Lightfoot et al. has two point mutations, Thr-333-IIe and Ser-351-Tyr (abstract). Human wild type IMPDH type II also has a Thr at residue 333 and Ser at residue 351. The two mammalian enzymes are also highly homologous.

Therefore, one of ordinary in the skill in the art would have been motivated to make mutations at residues 333 and 351 and expose cells comprising said mutant to IMPDH inhibitors, along with a control (cells comprising wildtype IMPDH), and select cells which are able to grow or proliferate in the presence of an IMPDH inhibitor by

Application/Control Number: 09/846,637

Art Unit: 1652

using cell proliferation methods well known in the art such as that of Roelant et al. or Farazi. The motivation of making mutations at residues 333 and 351 to the IMPDH of Farazi is to make and screen for other mutant human IMPDH which are resistant to IMPDH inhibitors. The motivation of performing the cell proliferation assay is to determine if said mutant IMPDH are resistant to IMPDH inhibitors. One of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success since Farazi and Lightfoot teaches mutant IMPDH which are resistant to IMPDH inhibitors and Farazi teaches mutant IMPDH which are resistant to IMPDH inhibitors can be identified by screening for cells comprising mutant IMPDH which are able to grow in the presence of IMPDH inhibitors.

None of the claims are allowable.

### **Conclusion**

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong Pak whose telephone number is 571-272-0935. The examiner can normally be reached 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

Yong D. Pak
Patent Examiner

PONNATHAPU ACHUTAMURTHY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600